



HIGHWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

15 September 2015

Subject Heading:

**BUS STOP ACCESSIBILITY
Chase Cross Road
Outcome of public consultation**

CMT Lead:

Andrew Blake-Herbert

Report Author and contact details:

**Mark Philpotts
Principal Engineer
01708 433751
mark.philpotts@havering.gov.uk**

Policy context:

**Havering Local Development
Framework (2008)
Havering Local Implementation Plan
2014/15 – 2016/17 Three Year Delivery
Plan (2013)**

Financial summary:

**The estimated cost of £12,000 for
implementation will be met by
Transport for London through the
2015/16 Local Implementation Plan
allocation for Bus Stop Accessibility.**

**The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council
Objectives**

Havering will be clean and its environment will be cared for	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
People will be safe, in their homes and in the community	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Residents will be proud to live in Havering	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUMMARY

This report sets out the responses to a consultation for the provision of a fully accessible bus stop outside 95/97 Chase Cross Road and seeks a recommendation that the proposals be implemented.

The scheme is within **Havering Park** and **Mawney** wards.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Committee having considered the report and the representations made recommends to the Cabinet Member for Environment that the bus stop accessibility improvements outside 95/97 Chase Cross Road set out in this report and shown on the following drawing (contained within Appendix I) are implemented;
 - QO001-OF-A01-A
2. That it be noted that the estimated cost of £12,000 for implementation (all sites) will be met by Transport for London through the 2015/16 Local Implementation Plan allocation for Bus Stop Accessibility.

REPORT DETAIL

1.0 Background

- 1.1 People with mobility problems, the elderly and people travelling with young children find it difficult to board or alight from buses, unless the vehicle is able to pull in close to the kerb (within 200mm). The difficulty of gaining kerbside access is often caused by indiscriminately parked vehicles, or lack of high kerb space adjacent to stops.
- 1.2 Improvements to the bus stop environment such as raising kerbs, relaying footway surfaces, providing short footway links to stops and (in exceptional circumstances) providing pedestrian crossing facilities can help with making bus stops fully accessible to all people. In some situations, it may be appropriate to build the footway out into the road to provide an accessible bus stop, although this will only be appropriate where carriageways are very wide.

- 1.3 The introduction of bus stop clearways improves the accessibility of bus stops by providing sufficient space for buses to pull in close to the kerb. It is important with the provision of buses in London that are fully wheelchair accessible, because the benefits of low-floor/ kneeling buses are considerably reduced (if not removed) if the bus cannot be positioned next to the kerb.
- 1.4 Drawing QB109/00/01B shows a standard bus stop layout where the bus stop is within a length of parked vehicles. In such a situation, a 37 metre long bus stop clearway is required to enable buses to meet the kerb so that both loading doors can be used. Where local conditions allow, this length can be reduced and so any design work will consider needs on a case by case basis.
- 1.5 In some situations, it is recognised that buses stopping on the carriageway can have an impact on traffic flows, especially on narrow roads. However, bus stops which are fully accessible to all people allow for buses to use stops more efficiently, minimising the length of time a bus is stationary. This will have the positive effect of reducing disruption to traffic flows to a minimum.
- 1.6 Where buses cannot fully access the kerb, then there may be delays in the loading or unloading of passengers leading to buses stopping longer than necessary. In some cases, certain passengers may not be able to access buses at all or the bus driver will simply need to pass the stop by where access to the kerb is not possible.
- 1.7 There are 690 bus stops in Havering. 663 are on borough roads, 20 are on the Transport for London Road Network and 7 are in private areas (e.g. Queen's Hospital). Data as of March 2015.
- 1.8 Of these stops, 66% are fully accessible. In order for a stop to be fully accessible, it must meet the following basic criteria;
 - The kerb to the footway must be between 125mm and 140mm in height to be compatible with the front and rear loading doors of the bus and the ramp deployed from the rear loading doors;
 - The bus stop should be restricted from parking and stopping by a bus stop clearway so that the stop is always available for buses to be able to pull into tightly to the kerb.
- 1.9 For Havering, funding for Bus Stop Accessibility works has mainly come from the Transport for London Local Implementation plan (LIP), but occasionally funding is secured as part of the development process.
- 1.10 Staff from StreetCare work with TfL London Buses and the Police (where required) on a programme of mainly route-based Bus Stop Accessibility

improvements, although individual sites are investigated from time to time where there are particular passenger access problems.

- 1.11 The route approach allows for comprehensive review of existing bus stop positions for accessibility, convenience, safety etc. and sometimes requires stops to be moved away from points of conflict such as where parking or proliferation of vehicle crossings prevent stops being accessible in their existing positions.
- 1.12 A set of proposals were presented to the Highways Advisory Committee at its meeting of 12th August 2014. A proposal to relocate the existing eastbound bus stop from outside 101/103 Chase Cross Road, which cannot be made accessible, to outside the Chase Cross Baptist Church (83 Chase Cross Road) was rejected (Drawing QN008-OF-A01-A02-A) and Staff asked to investigate an alternative.
- 1.13 A new proposal was developed with the bus stop being relocated outside 95/97 Chase Cross Road and the adjacent zebra crossing relocated from outside 93 to outside 95/97. Drawing QO001-OF-A01-A shows the new layout.
- 1.14 Approximately 14 letters were hand-delivered to those potentially affected by the scheme on 17th July 2015, with a closing date of 10th August 2015 for comments.
- 1.15 In addition, ward councillors, HAC members and standard consultees (London Buses, emergency services, interest groups etc) were sent a set of the consultation information.
- 1.16 Additionally a notice dealing with the proposed relocation of the zebra crossing was publicly advertised on 17th July 2015.

2.0 Outcome of Public Consultation

- 2.1 By the close of consultation, 3 responses were received as set out in Appendix I to this report.
- 2.2 London Buses support the proposals. Two businesses responded in support with one requesting a slight extension to their vehicle crossing.

3.0 Staff Comments

- 3.1 Staff confirm that the extension to the vehicle crossing is appropriate and recommend that the proposals be implemented as consulted.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial implications and risks:

This report is asking HAC to recommend to the Cabinet Member the implementation of the above scheme

The estimated cost of £12,000 for implementation will be met by Transport for London through the 2015/16 Local Implementation Plan allocation for Bus Stop Accessibility. The funding will need to be spent by 31st March 2016, to ensure full access to the grant.

The costs shown are an estimate of the full costs of the scheme, should all proposals be implemented. It should be noted that subject to the recommendations of the committee a final decision then would be made by the Lead Member – as regards actual implementation and scheme detail. Therefore, final costs are subject to change.

This is a standard project for Streetcare and there is no expectation that the works cannot be contained within the cost estimate. There is an element of contingency built into the financial estimate. In the unlikely event of an overspend, the balance would need to be contained within the overall Streetcare Capital budget.

Legal implications and risks:

Bus Stop Clearways do not require traffic orders, but Department for Transport guidance suggests that local consultations should take place as has been the case with the proposals set out in this report.

Before a decision can be taken on the installation or relocation of a zebra crossing, the Council is required to publicly advertise the proposals.

Human Resources implications and risks:

None.

Equalities Implications and Risks:

The Council has a general duty under the Equality Act 2010 to ensure that its highway network is accessible to all users. Where infrastructure is provided or substantially upgraded, reasonable adjustments should be made to improve access. In considering the impacts and making improvements for people with protected characteristics (mainly, but not limited to disabled people, the young and older people), this will assist the Council in meeting its duty under the Act.

The provision of fully accessible bus stops assists with making public transport more inclusive to all sectors of the community, but most especially disabled people and people using pushchairs. Accessible bus stops will be of benefit to people

using wheelchairs, but also people who have walking, balance and dexterity difficulties; and blind and partially-sighted people.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Project file: QO001, Bus Stop Accessibility

**APPENDIX I
CONSULTATION RESPONSES
SCHEME DRAWINGS**



Respondent	Response and Staff Comments (where required)
Matthew Moore London Buses Infrastructure	I am in favour of making this stop accessible as shown in the plans.
Greenhouse Water Gardens Chase Cross Road	If the works go ahead as illustrated then we have no objection and in fact feel it may ease the danger that is presented by the current positioning.
Keswalls Angling Centre 93 Chase Cross Road	<p>I have frequent pedestrian and vehicular visits to my retail premises. The vehicular movements are currently severely hampered by the present location of the zebra crossing.</p> <p>For my part I have no objections to all of your proposals detailed in your letter of 17th July 2015. However, I would like to remind you of our conversation when you hand delivered the letter, wherein you acknowledged the current difficulties that the existing crossing location may cause to my customers.</p> <p>Furthermore you kindly offered to explore a minor extension to my current dropped kerb to help ease any difficulties caused by the proposed relocation of the bus stop, I would very much welcome that consideration please.</p> <p>In summary I am in favour of this proposal.</p>